Addendum No. 2
September 7, 2011

The City University of New York
Legal Services Requests for Proposals
Project No. CITYW-CUCF-03-11

This Addendum is issued for the purpose of conveying the attached Questions and Answers, which include responses to the questions that were emailed to the CUNY Contracts Department. All information provided herein is made in good faith for information purposes only and does not in and of itself change the Solicitation. Changes to the Solicitation may only be made by formal amendment if and when required.

Contact: Michael Feeney, DDCM.ContractsDept@mail.cuny.edu

By signing in the space provided below, the Responder acknowledges receipt of this Addendum. This Addendum must be signed by an authorized representative of the Responder and submitted with the Response.
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The following Questions and Answers pertain to Project No. CITYW-CUCF-03-11, Legal Services Requests for Proposals:

Q1. Why did CUNY’s prior RFP for legal services include bond attorney work but the current RFP for legal services does not?

A1. Bond Attorney work was deleted as it is no longer required.

Q2. In a joint venture proposal between a MWBE law firm and a majority law firm, should they both apply together as one group or must they submit separate proposals that refer to each other?

A2. By definition a "joint venture" is a union of two or more firms whose credentials will be evaluated for the purposes of this RFP as a single entity under one proposal.

Q3. Can a MWBE law firm submit more than one joint venture proposal for the same panel with more than one majority law firm?

A3. An individual firm can be a member of multiple joint ventures. In each case the "joint venture's" strengths will be evaluated relative to the requirements of the panel for which the joint venture proposal is submitted.

Q4. If a MWBE has attempted to enter into a joint venture but can not find a partner, can it apply on its own to a panel - and, if so, will it be held against them that they do not have a majority law firm as a partner?

A4. Each proposal, whether the proposer is in a joint venture relationship or not, will be evaluated relative to the requirements of the panel for which the proposal is submitted.

Q5. The announcement of solicitation letter states that the due date is September 19, 2011 while RFP states on the bottom of the first page that the submission due date is September 20, 2011 which date is correct?

A5. As stated in Addendum No. 1, the submission due date is September 19, 2011.